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THICKNESSES, DENSITIES, AND CALCULATED THERMAL RESISTANCES
OF LOOSE-FILL ROCK WOOL INSTALLED IN TWO ATTIC SECTIONS
OF A MANUFACTURED HOUSE*

R. S. Graves and D. W. Yarbrough

ABSTRACT

The effect of vibrations due to manufacturing and transport on the thickness, density, and calculated thermal resistance (R-value) of loose-fill rock wool insulation installed in two manufactured home units has been determined. Thickness and density measurements on blown attic insulation were made after installation, at the end of the manufacturing process, and after the units were towed 265 miles. These measurements were used to calculate R-values for the attic insulation. The end sections of the two units showed an overall insulation thickness decrease of about 16% and an average R-value change from 31.2 to 28.8 ft²·h·°F/Btu. An estimated R-value greater than 30 ft²·h·°F/Btu resulted from averaging the end and middle sections of the two units. The effect of reduced thickness along the edges of the attic space was not included in the estimate.

INTRODUCTION

The thermal resistance of a loose-fill thermal insulation installed in an attic depends on thickness and density for a specific type of fiber. A test method for predicting the settled density of loose-fill cellulosic insulation has been in use for several years, and efforts have been under way to collect data that define the extent of settling of loose-fill mineral fiber products in attics. Thickness and density measurements are used to quantify settling, but thermal resistance (R-value) is the most important property and must be considered. Field examination of loose-fill attic insulation involves long-term monitoring of materials installed in accordance with label

specifications and amounts. Observed settling of above-label-density materials, such as loose-fill fiberglass at densities above 1.0 lb/ft$^3$ or loose-fill rock wool above 2.9 lb/ft$^3$, has been negligible.\(^2\)

[Note: Although the policy of the Oak Ridge National Laboratory is to report its work in SI metric units, this report uses English units. The justification for doing so is that the U.S. insulation industry at present operates entirely with English units, so use of the SI units would limit the usefulness of this report for the primary readership. The SI equivalents of units used in this report are listed in Appendix A.]

The manufactured housing industry is providing an increasing percentage of new houses, and available data on the behavior of insulation in their structures are scarce. The study reported here was undertaken to add to the data available for attic insulation in manufactured houses.\(^5\)

A limited study of the potential settlement of insulation blown into attics in manufactured houses was undertaken in cooperation with American Rockwool, Inc.,* and Mascot Homes, Inc.† Mascot Homes builds single- and double-wide houses in a manufacturing plant, and the manufactured units are then highway transported to buyers.

Mascot Homes utilizes American Rockwool blowing wool product, and they advertise an R-value of 30 (ft$^2$$\cdot$h•°F/ Btu) in the attics of their manufactured houses. This insulation is used as one feature to satisfy the heat transmission resistance requirements related to manufactured housing. The information on the American Rockwool label indicates that the material should be installed at a density of 1.7 lb/ft$^3$. At this density an insulation thickness of 9.71 in. is specified for R-30.

Normally, the number of bags of insulation blown into an attic is calculated on the basis of the area of the attic. The objective of the test reported here was to determine the extent of settling of the blown-in insulation in the attics of two units (1) after witnessing the installation at 1.7 lb/ft$^3$ density, (2) after completion of the manufacturing process, and (3) after the units had been towed to the buyer.

*American Rockwool, Inc., Spring Hope Division, P.O. Box 880, Spring Hope, NC 27882.

†Mascot Homes, Inc., P.O. Box 127, Gramling, SC 29348.
DESCRIPTION OF THE TEST

The test house consisted of two units identified by Serial Numbers 2125A and B. Each unit was 64.1 ft long and 11.8 ft wide. The total attic area was 756 ft², and the insulation label showed that 36 twenty-nine-pound bags of insulation per unit would be required for an R-30 installation. There were 49 trusses that formed 48 bays in each attic (Fig. 1). The trusses were numbered from 1 to 49 starting from the front of the structure. Only seven bays at the front and eleven bays at the rear could be examined at the end of the test because of the way the attic is enclosed. Those accessible attic areas were designated as the primary test sites. Wooden rulers 18 in. long were attached to trusses as shown in Fig. 1(b) to measure the depth of insulation at the time of installation and later.

The insulation was blown into the attics on August 15, 1985, with a KSI Model 1230 machine. The machine was equipped with 130 ft of 4-in.-diam hose. The gate and air settings were made by the American Rockwool area manager (T. Hinson) to ensure installation that was consistent with the product label. As a preliminary test of machine settings approximately one bag of insulation was blown into a boxed-in area in the plant, and two density determinations were made with a cylindrical cutter. Analysis of in-situ loose-fill insulation density data indicates that the average measured density of 1.56 lb/ft³ was satisfactory for the test. Attic application was done by the Mascot Homes crew with the exception of one-third of unit A in which the insulation was installed by Mr. Hinson. Thirty-seven bags of insulation were installed in each unit on the basis of nominal dimensions of 64 ft by 12 ft. In addition to the attic insulation, two 2-ft by 2-ft boxes, each with an attached ruler, were filled to a depth of approximately 12 in. with the same product. One box was placed inside each unit to determine if floor vibration would produce settling different from that in the attics.

RESULTS OF THE TEST

After the insulation was installed, manila file folders with centered slots were slipped over the seven rulers in the accessible regions
NOTES:
(a) WHEELS ARE BETWEEN TRUSSES 28 AND 34
(b) HATCHED AREAS ARE PRIMARY TEST ZONES

Fig. 1. Diagram showing accessible part of attic. (a) Top view.
(b) End view.
in each unit. The purpose of the folders was to provide a thickness average over an area and to define the ruler reading. In unit B five density determinations were made with a cylindrical cutter. These were along the length of the unit, but only three were in the primary test areas shown in Figs. 1 and 2. The three in the test areas averaged 1.64 lb/ft$^3$, which was very close to the label density of 1.7 lb/ft$^3$. The two densities in the center area were 2.08 and 2.56 lb/ft$^3$. An average thickness of 10.17 in. was derived from 22 end-section thickness measurements. The average density in the end sections of unit A as determined with a cylindrical cutter was 1.77 lb/ft$^3$ at an average thickness of 10.04 in., and the middle section had an average density of 2.49 lb/ft$^3$ at an average thickness of 10.38 in. Equations (1) and (2) were used to calculate the R-values corresponding to the insulation density and thickness measurements in the end sections and middle sections of the two test units.

\[
R\text{-value} = \frac{\text{thickness (in.)}}{\text{apparent thermal conductivity (}k_a\text{)}} \quad (1)
\]

\[
k_a = 0.0524 + 0.0246\rho + 0.3906/\rho \quad (2)
\]

Equation (2) was obtained from published data for loose-fill rock wool insulation$^2$ and gives $k_a$ in Btu•in./ft$^2$•h•°F at a mean temperature of 75°F with density, $\rho$, in lb/ft$^3$. The third term in the equation was adjusted to match American Rockwool’s label information. Calculated end-section R-values as installed were 31.7 and 30.7 ft$^2$•h•°F/Btu for units A and B, respectively. All results from tests of units A and B are given in Appendix B and summarized in Tables 1 and 2.

The manufacturing steps that follow the attic insulation installation induce considerable vibration in the structure. Consequently, the thicknesses indicated by the in-situ rulers in the accessible end sections were observed at the end of manufacturing, and additional thickness measurements were made with probes as shown in Appendix B. Equation (3) was used to calculate the density, $\rho_2$, after a change in thickness to $t_2$, giving the information needed for the calculation of R-value.

\[
(3)
\]
UNIT A

NOTE:
   a. R INDICATES RULER LOCATION
   b. D INDICATES DENSITY MEASUREMENT LOCATION

UNIT B

Fig. 2. Diagram of attic showing locations of thickness and density measurements.
Table 1. Density and thickness measurements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Initial density (lb/ft³)</th>
<th>Initial thickness (in.)</th>
<th>Thickness at end of manufacturing (in.)</th>
<th>Thickness at destination (in.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unit A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>End section</td>
<td>1.77</td>
<td>10.04</td>
<td>9.31</td>
<td>8.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid section</td>
<td>2.49</td>
<td>10.38</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>End section</td>
<td>1.64</td>
<td>10.17</td>
<td>9.47</td>
<td>8.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid section</td>
<td>2.32</td>
<td>10.37</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Calculated thermal resistances

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Initial value (ft²·h·°F/Btu)</th>
<th>At end of manufacturing (ft²·h·°F/Btu)</th>
<th>At destination (ft²·h·°F/Btu)</th>
<th>Change in R-Value (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unit A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>End section</td>
<td>31.7</td>
<td>30.6</td>
<td>29.0</td>
<td>-8.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid section</td>
<td>38.4</td>
<td>33.7a</td>
<td>33.7a</td>
<td>-12.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>End section</td>
<td>30.7</td>
<td>29.8</td>
<td>28.4</td>
<td>-7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid section</td>
<td>37.3</td>
<td>33.3a</td>
<td>33.3a</td>
<td>-10.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Calculated by using percent decrease in thickness from end sections.*
Six end-section rulers remained in place in unit B at completion of construction, and seven end-section rulers remained in place in unit A. The average end-section thickness in unit A was 9.31 in., and the average end-section thickness in unit B was 9.47 in. The end-section R-values corresponding to the end-of-manufacture thicknesses are 30.6 and 29.8 ft²·°F/Btu for units A and B, respectively.

The file folder at one location showed an indentation at the end of manufacturing, so the folders were removed to prevent compression due to folder movement. The insulation thicknesses determined in the end sections after removal of the folders represent a starting point for evaluating the effect of transport. The two units were towed from Gramling, S.C., to Princeton, W. Va., by Mascot Home drivers following normal delivery procedure. The units were towed 265 miles in 5 h for an average speed of 53 mph.

Thicknesses in the accessible end sections of both units were determined after the 265-mile trip. Unit A end-section thicknesses averaged 8.35 in. for an R-value of 29.0 ft²·°F/Btu, and unit B end-section thicknesses averaged 8.55 in. for an R-value of 28.5 ft²·°F/Btu. The end sections of the manufactured units are believed to provide a severe test because movement results from wheel vibrations and flexing of the structure.

The insulation in boxes on the floors of the two units showed little settlement. The boxes in units A and B were positioned 6 and 20 ft, respectively, from the hitch end. Average initial insulation thicknesses determined by 10 probes and a fixed ruler in each box were 12.56 in. and 14.21 in. in units A and B, respectively. Similarly determined thicknesses in units A and B after transport were 12.15 in. and 14.04 in., respectively. A measurement at the end of the test showed that the insulation in the boxes was at a density of about 2.5 lb/ft³.
The measurements completed after installation, end of construction, and after transport are summarized in Table 1 along with initial densities. Calculated R-values for the three examinations are listed in Table 2. The results in Tables 1 and 2 show that there was a 16% decrease in the thickness of insulation in the test sections. The calculated decrease in R-value in the test sections was 8%. The higher-density material in the unit mid sections should be less affected by vibration, and this was demonstrated by the boxed materials. If, however, mid-section R-values are reduced by the values derived for the ends and an overall R-value is obtained by averaging the end values and mid-section values, then unit A has a final value of 31.4 ft²·h·°F/Btu and unit B has a final value of 30.9 ft²·h·°F/Btu. These averages are for the central region of the structure and do not include corrections for tapering of the insulation near the edges.

CONCLUSIONS

This test was limited to observations on two manufactured units with most of the measurements being made in the end sections. The thickness of the rock wool in the end sections decreased by about 16% between installation and delivery. Calculated thermal resistances in the end sections, however, decreased by about 8% after adjustments were made for increased density. The calculated R-values of the mid sections of the units were initially greater than end-section R-values because of higher density and greater thickness. Final R-values for the mid sections were calculated on the assumption that settling of the high-density insulation would not exceed that of the lower-density end-section insulation. Equal weighing of calculated end-section and mid-section R-values resulted in an average destination value exceeding 30 ft²·h·°F/Btu near the high point in the attic.
RECOMMENDATIONS

The results of this study indicate a need for additional data on thermal resistances present in manufactured housing units after transport to the consumer. The data base for loose-fill insulations in attic applications should be expanded to include all candidate materials installed to provide representative R-values. Future measurement plans should include provisions for repeated density and thickness measurements throughout the attic. Computer simulations and additional field data could provide thermal performance predictions for insulations installed at different thicknesses and densities because of the sometimes limited attic space.
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### Appendix A

**SI Metric Equivalents of English Units Used in This Report**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>English Unit</th>
<th>SI Equivalent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dimension</td>
<td>in.</td>
<td>25.4 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dimension</td>
<td>ft</td>
<td>0.3048 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density</td>
<td>lb/ft³</td>
<td>16.02 kg/m³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermal conductivity</td>
<td>Btu·in./ft²·h·°F</td>
<td>0.144 W/m K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermal resistance</td>
<td>ft²·h·°F/Btu</td>
<td>0.1762 K m²/W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature</td>
<td>°F</td>
<td>°C = (5/9)(°F - 32)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature difference</td>
<td>°F</td>
<td>°C = (5/9)°F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance</td>
<td>mile</td>
<td>1.6 km</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix B

TEST RESULTS

Table B.1. Density (lb/ft\(^3\)) and thickness (in.) measurements for unit A

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location(^a)</th>
<th>Initial</th>
<th>At end of manufacture</th>
<th>At destination</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Density (thickness) (^b)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3–4</td>
<td>1.863 (10.33)</td>
<td>1.866 (11.02)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9–10</td>
<td>1.614 (9.12)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39–40</td>
<td>1.742 (9.41)</td>
<td>1.742 (9.41)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45–46</td>
<td>1.866 (11.02)</td>
<td>1.866 (11.02)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16–17</td>
<td>2.578 (9.83)</td>
<td>2.578 (9.83)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24–25</td>
<td>2.333 (11.21)</td>
<td>2.333 (11.21)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32–33</td>
<td>2.571 (10.09)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Thickness with probes \(^b\) |       |       |       |
| 3–4            | 8.02  | 7.32  |       |
| 5–6            | 8.76  | 7.85  |       |
| 39–40          | 10.62 | 9.50  |       |
| 45–46          | 9.68  | 8.68  |       |

| Thickness with rulers |       |       |       |
| 3              | 9.06  | 8.50  | 8.00  |
| 5              | 10.00 | 8.75  | 7.25  |
| 7              | 10.00 | 9.00  | 8.00  |
| 9              | 10.56 | 10.00 | 9.50  |
| 41             | 10.25 | 9.25  | 8.12  |
| 44             | 10.62 | 10.25 | 9.00  |
| 47             | 11.06 | 10.25 | 8.75  |

\(^a\)See Fig. 1 for truss numbering system.

\(^b\)Thickness values are the average of five measurements.
Table B.2. Density (lb/ft$^3$) and thickness (in.) measurements for unit B

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location$^a$</th>
<th>Initial</th>
<th>At end of manufacture</th>
<th>At destination</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Density (thickness)$^b$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3–4</td>
<td>1.560 (8.86)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43–44</td>
<td>1.644 (9.20)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45–46</td>
<td>1.726 (12.59)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17–18</td>
<td>2.077 (10.24)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32–33</td>
<td>2.563 (10.50)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thickness with probes$^b$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3–4</td>
<td>8.64</td>
<td>8.27</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6–7</td>
<td>9.83</td>
<td>8.92</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39–40</td>
<td>10.33</td>
<td>9.24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48–49</td>
<td>10.16</td>
<td>8.68</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thickness with rulers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>7.50</td>
<td>7.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>9.69</td>
<td>8.75</td>
<td>8.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>10.56</td>
<td>9.50</td>
<td>8.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>10.62</td>
<td>$^a$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>9.94</td>
<td>8.50</td>
<td>7.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>9.31</td>
<td>8.62</td>
<td>7.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>10.38</td>
<td>8.50</td>
<td>7.38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$See Fig. 1 for truss numbering system.

$^b$Thickness values are the average of five measurements.

$^c$Ruler was damaged.
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